



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,501	11/19/2001	Leonard Hayden	KLR: 1016.073	4149
75	90 10/19/2004		EXAM	INER
Kevin L. Russell		KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
Suite 1600 601 SW Second Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR 97204-3157			2829	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/997,501 HAYDEN ET AL.		<del>-</del>
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>                                      </del>
		Ernest F. Karlsen	2829	m
	of this communication a	ppears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence	address
Period for Reply				
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified al</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or ext</li> </ul>	HIS COMMUNICATION and under the provisions of 37 CFR illing date of this communication. We is less than thirty (30) days, a repove, the maximum statutory perior anded period for reply will, by state than threa months after the main	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minimud will apply and will expire SIX uta, causa the application to be		
Status				
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 20	February 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL				
3) Since this application	n is in condition for allow	ance except for formation	al matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is
closed in accordance	e with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are	pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above clai	m(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are withdr	awn from consideration	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/ard	e allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are			•	
7) Claim(s) is/ard	•	/	4	
8) Claim(s) are s	subject to restriction and	or election requireme	ent.	
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is o	bjected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed of	on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
• • • • •			abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
,	` '	•	lrawing(s) is objected to. See 37	• •
11) The oath or declaration	on is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form I	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is n	nade of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some *	c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copie	s of the priority docume	nts have been receive	ed.	
<u> </u>	• •		ed in Application No	
·	•		e been received in this Nation	al Stage
	m the International Bure			
* See the attached deta	ned Office action for a fi	st of the certified copi	es not received.	
Attachment(s)	0.000	" <b>–</b> 1		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PT)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent</li> </ol>			erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date		8) 5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application (Pner:	PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/997,501

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant's amendment of February 20, 2004 indicates claims 12-22 to be withdrawn and his remarks indicate claims 12-22 to be cancelled. Herein the claims are considered withdrawn.

Claims 12-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on February 19, 2003 and February 20, 2004.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chayka et al.

With regard to claims 1, 6-8 10 and 11, Chayka et al has a rigid support 32 and a plurality of contact fingers 26 supported by and extending from the support 32. The contact fingers are a unitary assembly with each other via the central tab with hole 54 of Figure 3 of Chayka et al or as a result of being mounted on the support 32. The contact fingers 26 are maintained in alignment by the tab with the hole 54 of Figure 3 of Chayka et al when attached to the support. The tab in Chayka et al is proximate the ends of the plurality of contact fingers. With regard to claim 2, the contact fingers extend radially from their tips. With regard to claims 3 and 9, the claimed features are inherent in

Application/Control Number: 09/997,501

Art Unit: 2829

Chayka et al. With regard to claim 5, the support of Chayka et al is considered a planar circuit board.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chayka et al in view of Cherry, previously cited.

Chayka et al was discussed above but does not show a network of resistors and capacitors connected to the probes. Cherry discloses at column 3, lines 7-29 a network of resistors and capacitors connected to the probe needles 74. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time for the invention to have adapted the resistor-capacitor network of Cherry to the apparatus of Chayka et al because one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that it would be desirable to provide impedance matching for the apparatus of Chayka et al as taught by Cherry.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beltz et al is cited to show additional apparatus similar to that of Chayka et al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/997,501

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

October 15, 2004

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER